

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/303,343	04/30/1999	MOHAMED ANISUR RAHMAN	RAHMAN-6	8223
30594	7590 05/26/2006	EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			TRAN, PHUC H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>&</i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/303,343	RAHMAN, MOHAMED ANISUR			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this comment of	PHUC H. TRAN	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the string and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	arch 2006.				
<u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	- Francisco de la constante de				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-7 and 9-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 9-15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lev et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5483524).
- With respect to claims 1, 9, 12-15, and 18-20, Lev teaches a method of communicating between a wireless unit (323 in Fig. 3) and a packet data network (301 in Fig. 3), comprising the steps of:

establishing a circuit switched link between the wireless unit and a base station (col. 6, lines 21-23);

sending a setup packet over a circuit switched link between the wireless unit and a base station to establish a data session between the wireless unit and the packet data network (col. 4, lines 33-55, which teaches that the session of initiation between the information source 301 to the data-capable terminal 327);

sending data packets for the data session over a packet switch link between the wireless unit and the packet data network on a wireless resource (col. 5, lines 16-20) that has been

temporarily allocated in response to a request for a wireless resource to send data packet for the data session (e.g. the digital image sends through the Internet is temporarily for reducing the load and the cost to the mobile subscriber).

wherein TCP/IP is used as the transport protocol for the packet data network and the setup packet is a TCP setup packet sent on one or more voice frames over the already established circuit switched link (col. 4, lines 15-17).

- With respect to claim 7, Lev also teaches maintaining the circuit switched link for at least the duration of voices call on the circuit switched link (e.g. the degrading channel detection in col. 5, lines 35-45).
- With respect to claims 10, and 11, Lev teaches wherein the step of sending data packets further includes: sending data packets for the data session on a reverse/forward switched link from the wireless unit to a base station (e.g. col. 3, lines 58-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lev et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5483524) in view of Forslow (U.S. Patent No. 6608832).
- With respect to claims 4-5, and 16-17, Lev discloses all the aspect of the claimed invention as set forth above but fails to teach multiplexing the setup packet with a traffic frame

Application/Control Number: 09/303,343

Art Unit: 2616

over the circuit switched link. Forslow discloses the same or similar field of endeavor teaches multiplexing the setup packet with a traffic frame over the circuit switched link (col. 4, lines 56-58; col. 12, lines 11-14). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the multiplexing step as taught by Forslow in the wireless communication network. The multiplexing step can be implemented by placing the multiplexing step at the wireless unit to multiplex the setup packet, the traffic frame and voice frame over the circuit switched link. The motivation for using the multiplexing step of Forslow in the wireless communication network for faster setup and reducing the load for a subscriber.

Page 4

- With respect to claim 6, Lev also teaches maintaining the circuit switched link for at least the duration of a voice call on the circuit switched link (e.g. the degrading channel in col. 5, lines 35-45).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Honkasalo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6219343 B1) discloses rate control techniques for efficient high speed data services.

- Kennedy, III et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6301480 B1) discloses system and method for communicating using a voice network and a data network.

- Muths et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5963860) discloses method and system for transmitting a data communication from a calling communication unit associated with a wireless communication system to a called communication unit.
- Phillips (U.S. Patent No. 6400712 B1) disclose fast circuit switched data architecture and method.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H. TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHI PHAM can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664

P.t May 23, 2006

CHI PHAM

CHUISORY PATENT EXAM

129/07

Page 5